## APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

OF	CITONI: DACKGROUND INFORMATION
	REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): December 6 2017
	DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: Louisville District, Eastern Kentucky Regulatory Office at Park Industrial Site; USACE ID: LRL-2015-637; Isolated Ephemeral Stream 6
C.	PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:  State: Kentucky County/parish/borough: Boyd/Greenup City: Princess Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 38.399620° N, Long. 82.79465° W.  Universal Transverse Mercator:  Name of nearest waterbody: Buena Vista Branch  Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: Little Sandy River  Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 05090104 (Little Sandy)  Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.  Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.
D.	REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):  Office (Desk) Determination. Date: 12/6/2017  Field Determination. Date(s):
SEC	CTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS
<b>A.</b> .	RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
	re Are no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the ew area. [Required]  Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.  Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce. Explain:
В. (	CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
The	re Are no "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]
	1. Waters of the U.S.  a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply):   TNWs, including territorial seas  Wetlands adjacent to TNWs  Relatively permanent waters² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs  Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs  Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs  Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs  Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs  Impoundments of jurisdictional waters  Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands
	<ul> <li>b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area:         Non-wetland waters: linear feet: width (ft) and/or acres.     </li> <li>Wetlands: acres.</li> </ul>
	c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: Not established at this time.  Elevation of established OHWM (if known):

Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):3

Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain: Ephemeral Stream 6 is physically isolated in the landscape, does not lie within the 100-year floodplain, and has

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

<sup>3</sup> Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

							,
		•					
						-	
							·
				·			
,							
	· ·						
,		·				. *	
						·	
	·						
							•

no surface or shallow subsurface connections to "waters of the United States (U.S.)" Documentation submitted by the applicant provides sufficient evidence that the waterbody is physically and geographically isolated. In addition, this feature is not susceptible to use in foreign commerce. As such, this feature is not a "waters of the U.S.".

#### SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

#### A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

1	T	NW

Identify TNW:

Summarize rationale supporting determination:

#### 2. Wetland adjacent to TNW

Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent":

#### B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under Rapanos have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody<sup>4</sup> is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

#### 1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

#### (i) General Area Conditions:

Watershed size: Pick List
Drainage area: Pick List
Average annual rainfall: inches
Average annual snowfall: inches

#### (ii) Physical Characteristics:

(a)	Relationshi	p with	TNW:

☐ Tributary flows directly into TNW.
☐ Tributary flows through Pick List tributaries before entering TNW.

Project waters are **Pick List** river miles from TNW. Project waters are **Pick List** river miles from RPW.

Project waters are Pick List aerial (straight) miles from TNW.

Project waters are Pick List aerial (straight) miles from RPW.

Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries, Explain:

Identify flow route to TNW5:

Tributary stream order, if known:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.

	(b)	Tributary is: Natural Artificial (man-made). Explain: Manipulated (man-altered). Explain:
		Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate):  Average width: feet  Average depth: feet  Average side slopes: Pick List.
		Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply):  Silts Sands Concrete Cobbles Gravel Muck Bedrock Vegetation. Type/% cover: Other. Explain:
		Tributary condition/stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks]. Explain: Presence of run/riffle/pool complexes. Explain: Tributary geometry: Pick List Tributary gradient (approximate average slope):
٠.	(c)	Flow: Tributary provides for: Pick List Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year: Pick List Describe flow regime: Other information on duration and volume:
•		Surface flow is: Pick List. Characteristics: .
		Subsurface flow: Pick List. Explain findings:  Dye (or other) test performed:
		Tributary has (check all that apply):  Bed and banks  OHWM <sup>6</sup> (check all indicators that apply):  clear, natural line impressed on the bank changes in the character of soil destruction of terrestrial vegetation shelving the presence of wrack line sediment sorting sediment sorting sediment deposition matted down, bent, or absent leaf litter disturbed or washed away sediment deposition multiple observed or predicted flow events abrupt change in plant community  other (list):  Discontinuous OHWM. <sup>7</sup> Explain:
		If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply):  High Tide Line indicated by: Oil or scum line along shore objects Fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore) Physical markings/characteristics Other (list):  Mean High Water Mark indicated by: Survey to available datum; Physical markings; Vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types.
(iii)	Cha	emical Characteristics: racterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.) Explain: tify specific pollutants, if known:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.

Third

	(iv) Biological Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply):  Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width):  Wetland fringe. Characteristics:	
	☐ Habitat for: ☐ Federally Listed species. Explain findings:	
	Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:	
	☐ Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: ☐ Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:	
2.	Characteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW	
	(i) Physical Characteristics:  (a) General Wetland Characteristics: Properties:	
	Wetland size: acres Wetland type. Explain: Wetland quality. Explain:	
	Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:	
	(b) General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW: Flow is: Pick List. Explain:	
	Surface flow is: Pick List Characteristics:	
	Subsurface flow: Pick List. Explain findings:  Dye (or other) test performed:	
	(c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW: ☐ Directly abutting	
٠	☐ Not directly abutting	
	Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain:	
	☐ Ecological connection. Explain: ☐ Separated by berm/barrier. Explain:	
	(d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW	
	Project wetlands are <b>Pick List</b> river miles from TNW.  Project waters are <b>Pick List</b> aerial (straight) miles from TNW.	
	Flow is from: Pick List.	
	Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the Pick List floodplain.	
	(ii) Chemical Characteristics:	
	Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershe characteristics; etc.). Explain:	d
	Identify specific pollutants, if known:	
	(iii) Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply):  Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width):	
	☐ Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain: ☐ Habitat for:	
	Federally Listed species. Explain findings:	
	☐ Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: ☐ Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:	
	Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:	
3.	Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any)	
	All wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: <b>Pick List</b> Approximately ( ) acres in total are being considered in the cumulative analysis.	
	•	

Directly abuts? (Y/N)

Size (in acres)

Directly abuts? (Y/N)

Size (in acres)

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed:

#### C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the Rapanos Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and
  other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

- 1. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D:
- 2. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:
- 3. Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:

# D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

1.	TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands. Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area:  TNWs: linear feet width (ft), Or, acres.  Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: acres.
2.	RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial:  Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally:

	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):  Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).  Other non-wetland waters: acres.  Identify type(s) of waters:
3.	Non-RPWs <sup>8</sup> that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply):  Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).  Other non-wetland waters: acres.  Identify type(s) of waters: .
4.	Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands.  Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:
	Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:
	Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
5.	Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisidictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
6.	Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
7.	Impoundments of jurisdictional waters. <sup>9</sup> As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional.  Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or  Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or  Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).
DEC SUC	PLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, GRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY CH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): 10 which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes. from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce. which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce. Interstate isolated waters. Explain: Other factors. Explain:
Idei	ntify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:

E.

See Footnote # 3.
 To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.
 Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):  Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).  Other non-wetland waters: acres.  Identify type(s) of waters:  Wetlands: acres.
F.	NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):  If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements.  Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce.  Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR).  Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain:  Other: (explain, if not covered above):
	Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment (check all that apply):  Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): 55 linear feet 2.5 width (ft).  Lakes/ponds: acres.  Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource:  Wetlands: acres.
	Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply):  Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): 55linear feet, 2.5 width (ft).  Lakes/ponds: acres.  Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource:  Wetlands: acres.
SE	CTION IV: DATA SOURCES.
A.	SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below):  Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Submitted by Redwing Ecological Services, Inc. dated November 20, 2017.  Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.  Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.  Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.  Data sheets prepared by the Corps:  Corps navigable waters' study:  U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:  USGS NHD data.  USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.  U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name:1:24,000 – Argillite, Kentucky Quad.  USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation:Soil Survey Geographic Database for Boyd/Greenup County,
	Kentucky (2010).  National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name:  State/Local wetland inventory map(s):  FEMA/FIRM maps:Boyd/Greenup County (2010).  100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929)  Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date): ESRI and the GIS user community (2016).  or Other (Name & Date): Site photographs November 6 and 7, 2017.  Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter: LRL-2015-637 Preliminary Jurisdictional Determination 12/1/2015.  Applicable/supporting case law:  Applicable/supporting scientific literature:  Other information (please specify):

**B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD:** The review area is a reclaimed surface mine operation consisting of primarily agricultural fields and young woods and scrub habitat with atypical hydrology and soils.

7/5 12/13/17

,

.

.

Approved Jurisdictional Determination Form for Ll Industrial Site	RL-2015-637; Proposed NWP 39 at East Park
Prepared by	Date
Reviewed by	Date

# APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

# **SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION**

# A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): December 6, 2017

B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: Louisville District, Eastern Kentucky Regulatory Office East Park Industrial Site; USACE ID: LRL-2015-637; Intermittent Stream 1 and Intermittent Stream 2

Eas	t Park Industrial Site; USACE ID: LRL-2015-637; Intermittent Stream 1 and Intermittent Stream 2
C.	PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:  State: Kentucky County/parish/borough: Boyd/Greenup City: Princess  Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 38.399620° N, Long. 82.79465° W.  Universal Transverse Mercator:  Name of nearest waterbody: Buena Vista Branch
	Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: Little Sandy River  Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 05090104 (Little Sandy)  Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.  Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.
D.	REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):  ☐ Office (Desk) Determination. Date: 12/6/2017 ☐ Field Determination. Date(s): 11/7/2017
	CTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
revi	re Are no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the ew area. [Required]  Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.  Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce. Explain:
B.	CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
The	re <b>Are</b> "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]
	1. Waters of the U.S.  a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): ¹  □ TNWs, including territorial seas □ Wetlands adjacent to TNWs □ Relatively permanent waters² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs □ Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs □ Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs □ Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs □ Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs □ Impoundments of jurisdictional waters □ Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands
	<b>b.</b> Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area:  Non-wetland waters: Intermittent 1 = 255 linear feet, Intermittet 2 = 235 linear feet: 4-5 width (ft) and/or 0.051 acres.  Wetlands: acres.
	<b>c.</b> Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: Established by OHWM.  Elevation of established OHWM (if known):
	<ul> <li>Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):<sup>3</sup></li> <li>Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional.</li> </ul>

Explain: Isolated Wetlands FF, GG, HH, II, JJ, MM, NN, OO, PP, RR, SS, TT, UU, VV, WW, XX, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

10 as well as Isolated Ephemeral Stream 6. These resources are physically isolated in the landscape, do not lie within the 100-year floodplain, and have no surface or shallow subsurface connections to "waters of the United States (U.S.)" Sufficient evidence has been provdied that these resources are physically and geographically isolated. In addition, these features are not susceptible to use in foreign commerce. As such, these features are not "waters of the U.S.".

#### **SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS**

#### A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

1.	TNW Identify TNW:	
	Summarize rationale supporting determination:	

#### 2. Wetland adjacent to TNW

Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent":

# B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under *Rapanos* have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody<sup>4</sup> is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

#### 1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

## (i) General Area Conditions:

Watershed size: 450 acres
Drainage area: 6-8 acres
Average annual rainfall: 43

Average annual rainfall: 43 inches Average annual snowfall: 14 inches

# (ii) Physical Characteristics:

(a) Relationship with TNW:

Tributary flows directly into TNW.

☐ Tributary flows through 5 tributaries before entering TNW.

Project waters are 20-25 river miles from TNW.

Project waters are 1 (or less) river miles from RPW.

Project waters are 10-15 aerial (straight) miles from TNW.

Project waters are 1 (or less) aerial (straight) miles from RPW.

Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:

Identify flow route to TNW<sup>5</sup>: Intermittent Stream 1 flows into Intermittent Stream 2. Intermittent Stream 2 flows into Buena Vista Branch which flows into Straight Creek. Straight Creek flows into Williams Creek which then flows into

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.

	East Fork Little Sandy River, which flows into Little Sandy River. The Little Sandy River is a tributary of the Big Sandy River, a TNW.  Tributary stream order, if known:
(b)	General Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply):  Tributary is: Natural  Artificial (man-made). Explain:
	Manipulated (man-altered). Explain: Intermittent Stream 1 has some riprap and concrete eupper portion of the channel near a 10-foot headcut. Both streams have been altered to receive increased stormwater evelopments and reclaimed mine areas.
	Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate):  Average width: 4-5 feet  Average depth: 0.5-1 feet  Average side slopes: 2:1.
	Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply):  Silts Sands Concrete Cobbles Gravel Muck Bedrock Vegetation. Type/% cover: Other. Explain:
most likely for	Tributary condition/stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks]. Explain: Receives heavy runoff from steep slopes ream. Intermittent 1 has erosional instability at the upstream portion of the stream which is noted by the 10-foot headcut med by increased stormwater runoff.  Presence of run/riffle/pool complexes. Explain: Weak to absent run/riffle/pool complexes in Intermittent 1. Average complexes in Intermittent 2.  Tributary geometry: <b>Relatively straight</b> Tributary gradient (approximate average slope): 3 %
(c)	Flow: Tributary provides for: Seasonal flow Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year: 20 (or greater) Describe flow regime: Flows during heavy precipitation events and seasonally during wet seasons. Other information on duration and volume:
	Surface flow is: <b>Discrete and confined.</b> Characteristics: .
	Subsurface flow: Unknown. Explain findings:
	Tributary has (check all that apply):  Bed and banks  OHWM <sup>6</sup> (check all indicators that apply):  clear, natural line impressed on the bank changes in the character of soil destruction of terrestrial vegetation shelving the presence of wack line sediment sorting sediment sorting scour sediment deposition matted down, bent, or absent sediment deposition matter disturbed or washed away sediment deposition multiple observed or predicted flow events abrupt change in plant community other (list):  Discontinuous OHWM. <sup>7</sup> Explain:
	If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply):    High Tide Line indicated by:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.

Tibid.

# (iii) Chemical Characteristics:

Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.).

Explain: Water is cloudy with heavy flow due to current and recent rain events. .

Identify specific pollutants, if known:

	(iv)		logical Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply):
			Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width): 50 feet of wooded area at Intermittent 1 and up to 200 feet of
WOO	oded a	area a	at Intermittent 2 within the project boundary.
		H	Wetland fringe. Characteristics:
		$\boxtimes$	Habitat for:  Federally Listed species. Explain findings:
			Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:
			Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:
			Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: Amphibians and macroinvertebrates.
			7 1 y 1 y 1 y 1
2.	Cha	ract	eristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW
	(i)	Phy	sical Characteristics:
			General Wetland Characteristics:
			Properties:
			Wetland size: acres
			Wetland type. Explain: .
			Wetland quality. Explain:
			Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:
		(b)	General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW:
		(0)	Flow is: <b>Pick List</b> . Explain:
			110W IS. 11CH ESS. Explain.
			Surface flow is: Pick List
			Characteristics: .
			Subsurface flow: Pick List. Explain findings: .
			Dye (or other) test performed:
		(c)	Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:
		(0)	Directly abutting
			Not directly abutting
			Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain: .
			Ecological connection. Explain: Provides various values and functions. See III C.
			☐ Separated by berm/barrier. Explain: .
		(d)	Proximity (Relationship) to TNW
			Project wetlands are <b>Pick List</b> river miles from TNW.
			Project waters are <b>Pick List</b> aerial (straight) miles from TNW.  Flow is from: <b>Pick List</b> .
			Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the <b>Pick List</b> floodplain.
			Estimate approximate location of wettand as within the 1 tex List moodplain.
	(ii)	Che	emical Characteristics:
	` '		racterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed
			characteristics; etc.). Explain: T.
		Ider	ntify specific pollutants, if known:
	(***	. <b>D</b> 1	Land Change de Safery Weetland among safe (all order 10 de de marke)
	(111)	   B10	logical Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply):  Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width):
		Ħ	Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain:
		Ħ	Habitat for:
		_	Federally Listed species. Explain findings: .
			Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:
			Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:
			Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:
3.	Cha		eristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any)
			wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: <b>Pick List</b> broximately ( ) acres in total are being considered in the cumulative analysis.
		4 M	roannacij ( ) acres in total are being considered in the culturative analysis.

For each wetland, specify the following:

<u>Directly abuts? (Y/N)</u> <u>Size (in acres)</u> <u>Directly abuts? (Y/N)</u> <u>Size (in acres)</u>

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed:

# C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the *Rapanos* Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

- 1. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D:
- 2. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:
- 3. Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:

# D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

1.	TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands. Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area:  TNWs: linear feet width (ft), Or, acres.  Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: acres.
2.	<ul> <li>RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.</li> <li>□ Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial:</li> <li>□ Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally: The streams are RPW with seasonal flow for a minimum of three months per year. This conclusion is supported by submitted data reflecting field observations that noted the geomorphology, ground water influence, and watershed size of the streams. Both streams are in the upper portion of their watershed and only drain 5 to 10 acres. In addition, per the USGS quad map, Intermittent Stream 1 is notated as Intermittent (dashed blue line) and Intermittent Stream 2 is not currently mapped</li> </ul>

	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):  Tributary waters: Intermittent 1 = 255 linear feet, Intermittent 1 = 235 linear feet 4-5width (ft).  Other non-wetland waters: acres.  Identify type(s) of waters: .
3.	Non-RPWs <sup>8</sup> that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply):  Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).  Other non-wetland waters: acres.  Identify type(s) of waters: .
4.	Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands.  Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:
	Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:
	Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
5.	Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisidictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
6.	Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
7.	Impoundments of jurisdictional waters. <sup>9</sup> As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional.  Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or  Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or  Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).
DE SU	OLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, GRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY CH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): 10 which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes. from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce.

as an intermittent. It is not likely that these streams would flow during the dry summer months, therefore, they are seasonal

E.

RPWs.

 $<sup>^8</sup> See$  Footnote # 3.  $^9$  To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

	<ul> <li>□ which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce.</li> <li>□ Interstate isolated waters. Explain:</li> <li>□ Other factors. Explain:</li> </ul>
	Identify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):  Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).  Other non-wetland waters: acres.  Identify type(s) of waters: .  Wetlands: acres.
F.	NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):  If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements.  Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce.  Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR).  Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain:  Other: (explain, if not covered above):
	Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the <u>sole</u> potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment (check all that apply):  Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft).  Lakes/ponds: acres.  Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: .  Wetlands: acres.
	Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply):  Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft).  Lakes/ponds: acres.  Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource:  Wetlands: acres.
SEC	CTION IV: DATA SOURCES.
<b>A.</b>	SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below):    Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Submitted by Redwing Ecological Services, Inc. dated November 20, 2017.   Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.   Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.   Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.   Data sheets prepared by the Corps:   Corps navigable waters' study:   U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:   USGS NHD data.   USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.   U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name:1:24,000 – Argillite, Kentucky Quad.   USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation:Soil Survey Geographic Database for Boyd/Greenup County, Kentucky (2010).   National wetlands inventory map(s):   State/Local wetland inventory map(s):   FEMA/FIRM maps:Boyd/Greenup County (2010).   100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929)   Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date): ESRI and the GIS user community (2016).   or Other (Name & Date): Site photographs November 6 and 7, 2017.   Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter: LRL-2015-637 Preliminary Jurisdictional Determination 12/1/2015.
	Applicable/supporting case law: Applicable/supporting scientific literature:

Other information (please specify):	
(F	

**B.** ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: The streams are RPW with seasonal flow for a minimum of three months per year. This conclusion is supported by submitted data reflecting field observations that noted the geomorphology, ground water influence, and watershed size of the streams. Both streams are in the upper portion of their watershed and only drain 5 to 10 acres. In addition, per the USGS quad map, Intermittent Stream 1 is notated as Intermittent (dashed blue line) and Intermittent Stream 2 is not currently mapped as an intermittent. It is not likely that these streams would flow during the dry summer months, therefore, they are seasonal RPWs.

Approved Jurisdictional Determination Form for LRL-201 Industrial Site	5-637; Proposed NWP 39 at East Park
Prepared by	Date
Reviewed by	Date

# APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM **U.S. Army Corps of Engineers**

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

# **SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION**

# A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): December 6, 2017

B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: Louisville District, Eastern Kentucky Regulatory Office East Park Industrial Site: USACE ID: LRL-2015-637: Enhemeral Streams A and R

Las	t Park Industrial Site; USACE ID: ERL-2015-057; Ephemeral Streams A and B
C.	PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:  State: Kentucky County/parish/borough: Boyd/Greenup City: Princess  Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 38.399620° N, Long. 82.79465° W.  Universal Transverse Mercator:  Name of nearest waterbody: Buena Vista Branch  Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: Little Sandy River  Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 05090104 (Little Sandy)  Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.  Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.
D.	REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):  Office (Desk) Determination. Date: 12/6/2017  Field Determination. Date(s): 11/7/2017
	CTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
	re Are no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the ew area. [Required]  Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.  Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce. Explain:
В.	CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
The	re Are "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]
	1. Waters of the U.S.  a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply):  TNWs, including territorial seas  Wetlands adjacent to TNWs  Relatively permanent waters² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs  Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs  Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs  Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs  Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs  Impoundments of jurisdictional waters  Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands
	<ul> <li>b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area:         Non-wetland waters: Ephemeral A =145 linear feet, Ephemeral B = 60 linear feet: 2.5 width (ft) and/or 0.01 acres.     </li> <li>Wetlands: acres.</li> </ul>
	c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: Established by OHWM.  Elevation of established OHWM (if known):
	<ol> <li>Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):<sup>3</sup></li> <li>         ∑ Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain: Isolated Wetlands FF, GG, HH, II, JJ, MM, NN, OO, PP, RR, SS, TT, UU, VV, WW, XX, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, and</li> </ol>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

10 as well as Isolated Ephemeral Stream 6. These resources are physically isolated in the landscape, do not lie within the 100-year floodplain, and have no surface or shallow subsurface connections to "waters of the United States (U.S.)" Sufficient evidence has been provided that these resources are physically and geographically isolated. In addition, these features are not susceptible to use in foreign commerce. As such, these features are not "waters of the U.S.".

#### **SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS**

TNW

#### A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

2.	Wetland adjacent to TNW
	Summarize rationale supporting determination: .
	Identify TNW:

Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent":

# B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under *Rapanos* have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody<sup>4</sup> is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

#### 1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i) General Area Conditions: Watershed size: 450 acres

# Drainage area: <1 acres Average annual rainfall: 43 inches Average annual snowfall: 14 inches (ii) Physical Characteristics: (a) Relationship with TNW: ☐ Tributary flows directly into TNW. ☐ Tributary flows through 5 tributaries before entering TNW. Project waters are Proj

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West

Identify flow route to TNW5: Ephemeral Streams A and B flow into Buena Vista Branch which flows into Straight Creek. Straight Creek flows into Williams Creek which then flows into East Fork Little Sandy River which flows into Little Sandy River. The Little Sandy River is a tributary of the Big Sandy River, a TNW. Tributary stream order, if known: 1st. (b) General Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply): ⊠ Natural Tributary is: Artificial (man-made). Explain: Manipulated (man-altered). Explain: Drainage to the feature has increased due to construction of roads above and below the stream. The downstream, off site portion of the stream runs adjacent to Buena Vista Drive and contains rip rap to stabilize the banks. **Tributary** properties with respect to top of bank (estimate): Average width: 2.5 feet Average depth: 0.25 feet Average side slopes: 2:1. Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply): Silts Sands Concrete Gravel Cobbles Muck Bedrock ☐ Vegetation. Type/% cover: Other. Explain: Clay bottom with some shale... Tributary condition/stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks]. Explain: Receives heavy runoff from steep slopes surrounding stream. . Presence of run/riffle/pool complexes. Explain: None. Tributary geometry: Relatively straight Tributary gradient (approximate average slope): 3-5 % Flow:

> Surface flow is: **Discrete and confined.** Characteristics: Subsurface flow: Unknown. Explain findings: Dye (or other) test performed: Tributary has (check all that apply): Bed and banks OHWM<sup>6</sup> (check all indicators that apply): □ clear, natural line impressed on the bank □ the presence of litter and debris changes in the character of soil  $\boxtimes$ destruction of terrestrial vegetation Shelving the presence of wrack line vegetation matted down, bent, or absent sediment sorting □ leaf litter disturbed or washed away scour sediment deposition multiple observed or predicted flow events water staining abrupt change in plant community other (list): ☐ Discontinuous OHWM.<sup>7</sup> Explain: If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply): High Tide Line indicated by: Mean High Water Mark indicated by:

> > survey to available datum;

vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types.

physical markings;

<sup>5</sup> Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW. <sup>6</sup>A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow

regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break. 7Ibid

oil or scum line along shore objects

physical markings/characteristics

tidal gauges other (list):

fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore)

Tributary provides for: **Ephemeral flow** 

Other information on duration and volume:

Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year: 20 (or greater) Describe flow regime: Flows during heavy precipitation events.

# (iii) Chemical Characteristics:

Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.).

Explain: Water is cloudy due to clay bottom and heavy flow from current and recent rain events.

Identify specific pollutants, if known:

	(iv)		logical Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply):
	1 1		Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width): Less than 100 feet at Ephemeral B to greater than 300 feet of
woo	aea	area a	at Ephemeral A. Wetland fringe. Characteristics: .
		Ħ	Habitat for:
		Ш	Federally Listed species. Explain findings: .
			Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:
			Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:
			Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:
2.	Che	aract	eristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW
<b></b>	CII		
	(i)		vsical Characteristics:
		(a)	General Wetland Characteristics: Properties:
			Wetland size: acres
			Wetland type. Explain: .
			Wetland quality. Explain: .
			Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:
			•
		(b)	General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW:
			Flow is: <b>Pick List</b> . Explain: .
			Surface flow is: Pick List
			Characteristics: .
			Subsurface flow: Unknown. Explain findings: .
			Dye (or other) test performed:
			West LAP TO A STATE OF THE STAT
		(c)	Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:  Directly abutting
			☐ Not directly abutting
			Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain:
			Ecological connection. Explain: Provides various values and functions. See III C.
			Separated by berm/barrier. Explain:
		(d)	Proximity (Relationship) to TNW
			Project wetlands are <b>Pick List</b> river miles from TNW.
			Project waters are <b>Pick List</b> aerial (straight) miles from TNW. Flow is from: <b>Pick List.</b>
			Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the <b>Pick List</b> floodplain.
			Estimate approximate location of wettand as within the 1 fek Dist noodplain.
	(ii)	Che	emical Characteristics:
		Cha	racterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed
			characteristics; etc.). Explain:
		Idei	ntify specific pollutants, if known:
	(iii	) Bio	logical Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply):
			Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width):
			Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain: .
			Habitat for:
			Federally Listed species. Explain findings:
			Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:
			Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:
			Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: .
3.	Cha	aract	eristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any)
	-	All	wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: Pick List
			proximately ( ) acres in total are being considered in the cumulative analysis.

For each wetland, specify the following:

Directly abuts? (Y/N) Size (in acres) Directly abuts? (Y/N) Size (in acres)

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed: Emergent wetlands perfrom pollutant filtering, nutrient uptake function from stormwater runoff of roadways and open fields, and floodplain storage.

#### C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the *Rapanos* Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

- 1. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D:
- 2. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D: Ephemeral Streams A and B flow directly into Buena Vista Branch which flows into Straight Creek. Straight Creek flows into Williams Creek which then flows into East Fork Little Sandy River which flows into Little Sandy River. The Little Sandy River is a tributary of the Big Sandy River, a TNW. Evidence submitted by the applicant show that on November 6 and 7, 2017, water was observed flowing in both Ephemeral Stream A and B. Thus, Ephemeral Streams A and B have direct significant nexus to downstreams RPWs which eventually flow into a TNW.
- 3. Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:

D.	DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL
	THAT APPLY):

1.	TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands. Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area:  TNWs: linear feet width (ft), Or, acres.  Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: acres.
2.	RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial:

	Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally:		
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):  Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).  Other non-wetland waters: acres.  Identify type(s) of waters: .		
3.	Non-RPWs <sup>8</sup> that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  ☑ Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.		
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply):  Tributary waters: Ephemeral A = 145 linear feet & Ephemeral B = 60 linear feet 2.5 width (ft).  Other non-wetland waters: acres.  Identify type(s) of waters: .		
4.	Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands.  Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:		
	Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:		
	Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.		
5.	Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisidictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.		
	Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.		
6.	Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.		
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.		
7.	Impoundments of jurisdictional waters.  As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional.  Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or  Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or  Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).		
ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): 10 which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes.			

E.

 <sup>8</sup>See Footnote # 3.
 9 To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.
 10 Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

	from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce.  which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce.  Interstate isolated waters. Explain:  Other factors. Explain:			
	Identify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:			
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):  Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).  Other non-wetland waters: acres.  Identify type(s) of waters:  Wetlands: acres.			
F.	NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):  If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements.  Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce.  Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR).  Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain:  Other: (explain, if not covered above):			
	Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment (check all that apply):  Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft).  Lakes/ponds: acres.  Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: .  Wetlands: acres.			
	Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply):  Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft).  Lakes/ponds: acres.  Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: .  Wetlands: acres.			
SEC	CTION IV: DATA SOURCES.			
<b>A.</b> (	SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below):  Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Submitted by Redwing Ecological Services, Inc. dated November 20, 2017.  Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.  Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.  Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.  Data sheets prepared by the Corps:  Corps navigable waters' study:  U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:			
	USGS NHD data.  USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.  U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name:1:24,000 −Argillite, Kentucky Quad.  USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation:Soil Survey Geographic Database for Boyd/Greenup County, Kentucky (2010).  National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name:  State/Local wetland inventory map(s):  FEMA/FIRM maps:Boyd/Greenup County (2010).  100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929)  Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date): ESRI and the GIS user community (2016).  or ☑ Other (Name & Date): Site photographs November 6 and 7, 2017.  Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter: LRL-2015-637 Preliminary Jurisdictional Determination 12/1/2015.  Applicable/supporting case law:			

•	
	•

**B.** ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: Ephemeral Streams A and B flow directly into Buena Vista Branch which flows into Straight Creek. Straight Creek flows into Williams Creek which then flows into East Fork Little Sandy River which flows into Little Sandy River. The Little Sandy River is a tributary of the Big Sandy River, a TNW. Evidence submitted by the applicant show that on November 6 and 7, 2017, water was observed flowing in both Ephemeral Stream A and B. Thus, Ephemeral Streams A and B have direct significant nexus to downstreams RPWs which eventually flow into a TNW.

Approved Jurisdictional Determination Form for Industrial Site	r LRL-2015-637; Proposed NWP 39 at Eas	st Park
Prepared by	Date	
Reviewed by	Date	

# APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM **U.S. Army Corps of Engineers**

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

# **SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION**

# REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): December 6, 2017

	DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: Louisville District, Eastern Kentucky Regulatory Office t Park Industrial Site; USACE ID: LRL-2015-637; Wetlands 6 and 9
C.	PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:  State: Kentucky County/parish/borough: Boyd/Greenup City: Princess  Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 38.399620° N, Long. 82.79465° W.  Universal Transverse Mercator:  Name of nearest waterbody: Buena Vista Branch  Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: Little Sandy River  Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 05090104 (Little Sandy)  Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.  Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.
D.	REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):  ☐ Office (Desk) Determination. Date: 12/6/2017  ☐ Field Determination. Date(s): 11/7/2017
	CTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
revi	re Are no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the ew area. [Required]  Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.  Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce. Explain:  CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
The	re <b>Are</b> "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]
	1. Waters of the U.S.  a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply):  □ TNWs, including territorial seas □ Wetlands adjacent to TNWs □ Relatively permanent waters² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs □ Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs □ Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs □ Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs □ Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs □ Impoundments of jurisdictional waters □ Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands
	b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area: Non-wetland waters: linear feet: width (ft) and/or acres. Wetlands: Wetland $6 = 0.081$ acres and Wetland $9 = 0.088$ acres.
	c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: 1987 Delineation Manual Elevation of established OHWM (if known):
	<ul> <li>Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):<sup>3</sup></li> <li>Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional.</li> </ul>

Explain: Isolated Wetlands FF, GG, HH, II, JJ, MM, NN, OO, PP, RR, SS, TT, UU, VV, WW, XX, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

10 as well as Isolated Ephemeral Stream 6. These resources are physically isolated in the landscape, do not lie within the 100-year floodplain, and have no surface or shallow subsurface connections to "waters of the United States (U.S.)" Sufficient evidence has been provided that these resources are physically and geographically isolated. In addition, these features are not susceptible to use in foreign commerce. As such, these features are not "waters of the U.S.".

#### **SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS**

#### A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

1.	TNW	
	Identify TNW:	

Summarize rationale supporting determination:

#### 2. Wetland adjacent to TNW

Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent":

# B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under *Rapanos* have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody<sup>4</sup> is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

#### 1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

## (i) General Area Conditions:

Watershed size: 450 acres
Drainage area: 1 acres

Average annual rainfall: 43 inches Average annual snowfall: 14 inches

# (ii) Physical Characteristics:

(a) Relationship with TNW:

☐ Tributary flows directly into TNW.

Tributary flows through 5 tributaries before entering TNW.

Project waters are 20-25 river miles from TNW.

Project waters are 1 (or less) river miles from RPW.

Project waters are 10-15 aerial (straight) miles from TNW.

Project waters are 1 (or less) aerial (straight) miles from RPW.

Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:

Identify flow route to TNW<sup>5</sup>: Wetlands 6 & 9 discharge into unnamed ephemeral tributaries of Buena Vista Branch which flows into Straight Creek. Straight Creek flows into Williams Creek which then flows into East Fork Little Sandy

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.

	River. The East Fork Little Sandy River empties into the Little Sandy River, a tributary of the Big Sandy River which is a TNW  Tributary stream order, if known: 1st.
(b)	General Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply):  Tributary is:
-	on of roads above and below the tributaries. The downstream portions of the tributary flow adjacent to Buena Vista Drive raiprap to stabilize the banks.
	Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate):  Average width: 2.5 feet  Average depth: 0.25 feet  Average side slopes: 2:1.
	Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply):  Silts Sands Concrete Cobbles Gravel Muck Bedrock Vegetation. Type/% cover: Some cattails in channel (< 2%) Other. Explain: Clay bottom with some shale.
surrounding s	Tributary condition/stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks]. Explain: Receives heavy runoff from steep slopes tream  Presence of run/riffle/pool complexes. Explain: None.  Tributary geometry: Relatively straight  Tributary gradient (approximate average slope): 3-5 %
(c)	Flow: Tributary provides for: Ephemeral flow Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year: 20 (or greater) Describe flow regime: Flows during heavy precipitation events. Other information on duration and volume:
	Surface flow is: <b>Discrete and confined.</b> Characteristics:
	Subsurface flow: <b>Unknown</b> . Explain findings:
	Tributary has (check all that apply):  Bed and banks  OHWM <sup>6</sup> (check all indicators that apply):  clear, natural line impressed on the bank changes in the character of soil destruction of terrestrial vegetation shelving the presence of wack line sediment sorting sediment sorting sediment sorting sediment deposition multiple observed or predicted flow events abrupt change in plant community other (list):  Discontinuous OHWM. <sup>7</sup> Explain:
	If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply):    High Tide Line indicated by:
(iii) Che	emical Characteristics:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.

<sup>7</sup>Ibid.

Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.).

Explain: Water is cloudy due to heavy flow from current and recent rain events. .

Identify specific pollutants, if known:

(i	iv) <b>F</b>	Biological Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply):  ☐ Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width): Less than 100 feet of scrub/shrub habitat.  ☐ Wetland fringe. Characteristics: ☐ Habitat for: ☐ Federally Listed species. Explain findings: ☐ Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: ☐ Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: ☐ Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:
2. (	Chara	acteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW
(i		Physical Characteristics:  a) General Wetland Characteristics: Properties: Wetland size: 0.169 acres Wetland type. Explain: Scrub/shrub and Emergent. Wetland quality. Explain:100% wetland vegetation, hydric soils, and hydrology present. Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:
to pred outcro RPW	n B f cipita p tha Ephe	b) General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW: Flow is: Ephemeral flow. Explain: Wetland 9 is on a steep slope that eventually forms Ephemeral Stream B. Ephemeral lows out of Wetland 9 and continues to an offsite confluence with Buena Vista Branch. Wetland 9 recevies water in response tion events and stormwater runoff. Wetland 6 is on a ridge above Ephemeral Stream A that is connected via a steep rock at has water flowing out of Wetland 6 and into Ephemeral Stream A. Wetland 6 is connected to dowsntream RPWs via non-meral Stream A which flows into Buena Vista Branch. Wetland 6 recevies water in response to precipitation events and runoff.
		Surface flow is: <b>Discrete and confined</b> Characteristics:
		Subsurface flow: <b>Unknown</b> . Explain findings:  Dye (or other) test performed:
RPW :	`	Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:  □ Directly abutting □ Not directly abutting □ Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain: Wetlands 6 and 9 have a direct hydrologic connection to non-meral Streams A and B. Ephemeral Streams A and B are directly connected to Buena Vista Branch. □ Ecological connection. Explain: Provides various values and functions. See III C. □ Separated by berm/barrier. Explain:
	(	Proximity (Relationship) to TNW Project wetlands are 20-25 river miles from TNW. Project waters are 10-15 aerial (straight) miles from TNW. Flow is from: Wetland to navigable waters. Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the 500-year or greater floodplain.
(i	(	Chemical Characteristics: Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain: The water was clear with no oil film or brown color. The watershed drains adjacent hill slopes and roadways. No water quality samples were analyzed. dentify specific pollutants, if known:
(		Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply):  ☐ Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width):  ☐ Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain:100% wetland vegetation with mostly black willow saplings  ☐ Habitat for:  ☐ Federally Listed species. Explain findings:  ☐ Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:  ☐ Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:  ☐ Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:
3 (	hor	potoristics of all wotlands adjacent to the tributory (if any)

Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any)
All wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: 2
Approximately (0.169) acres in total are being considered in the cumulative analysis.

For each wetland, specify the following:

Directly abuts? (Y/N) Size (in acres) Directly abuts? (Y/N) Size (in acres) N 0.088 (W9) N 0.081 (W6)

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed: Wetlands perform pollutant filtering, nutrient uptake function from stormwater runoff of roadways and open fields of reclaimed mine area.

#### C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the *Rapanos* Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

- 1. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D:
- 2. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D: Wetlands 6 and 9 are directly connected via surface flow to non-RPW Ephemeral Streams A and B, respectively. Ephemeral Streams A and B flow into Buena Vista Branch which eventually flows into East Fork Little Sandy River, which flows into the Little Sandy River. The Little Sandy River flows into the Big Sandy River, a TNW. During the field visits on November 6 and 7, 2017, water was observed flowing out of Wetlands 6 and 9 and into Ephemeral Streams A and B. Thus, Wetlands 6 and 9 have a direct significant nexus to a non-RPW which has direct downstream connections to RPWs and eventually flow into a TNW.
- 3. Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:

D.	DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL
	THAT APPLY):

1.	TNWs and A	djacent Wetlands.	Check all that ap	ply and provide size estimates in review area:
	☐ TNWs:	linear feet	width (ft), Or,	acres.
	■ Wetlands	adjacent to TNWs:	acres.	

2. RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

	<ul> <li>Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial:</li> <li>Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally:</li> </ul>
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):  Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).  Other non-wetland waters: acres.  Identify type(s) of waters: .
3.	Non-RPWs <sup>8</sup> that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply):  Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).  Other non-wetland waters: acres.  Identify type(s) of waters: .
4.	Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands.  Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:
	Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:
	Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
5.	Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisidictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
6.	Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: <b>0.169</b> acres.
7.	Impoundments of jurisdictional waters.9  As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional.  Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or  Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or  Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).
DE	DLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, GRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY CH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): <sup>10</sup>

E.

<sup>9</sup> To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.

10 Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

	which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes.  from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce.  which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce.  Interstate isolated waters. Explain:  Other factors. Explain:
	Identify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):  Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).  Other non-wetland waters: acres.  Identify type(s) of waters:  Wetlands: acres.
F.	NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):  If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements.  Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce.  Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR).  Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain:  Other: (explain, if not covered above):
	Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the <u>sole</u> potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment (check all that apply):  Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft).  Lakes/ponds: acres.  Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: .  Wetlands: acres.
	Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply):  Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft).  Lakes/ponds: acres.  Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: .  Wetlands: acres.
SEC	CTION IV: DATA SOURCES.
A.	SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below):  Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Submitted by Redwing Ecological Services, Inc. dated November 20, 2017.  Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.  Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.  Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.  Data sheets prepared by the Corps:  Corps navigable waters' study:  U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:  USGS NHD data.  USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.  U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name:1:24,000 –Argillite, Kentucky Quad.  USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation:Soil Survey Geographic Database for Boyd/Greenup County, Kentucky (2010).  National wetlands inventory map(s):  State/Local wetland inventory map(s):  FEMA/FIRM maps:Boyd/Greenup County (2010).
	PEMAPIKM maps. Boyd/Greenup County (2010).   100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929)   Photographs:

	or 🛛 Other (Name & Date): Site photographs November 6 and 7, 2017.
$\boxtimes$	Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter: LRL-2015-637 Preliminary Jurisdictional Determination 12/1/2015.
	Applicable/supporting case law: Applicable/supporting scientific literature:
	Other information (please specify):

**B.** ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: Wetlands 6 and 9 were directly connected via surface flow to non-RPW Ephemeral Streams A and B, respectively. Ephemeral Streams A and B flow into Buena Vista Branch which eventually flows into East Fork Little Sandy River, which flows into the Little Sandy River. The Little Sandy River flows into the Big Sandy River, a TNW. During the field visits on November 6 and 7, 2017, water was observed flowing out of Wetlands 6 and 9 and into Ephemeral Streams A and B. Thus, Wetlands 6 and 9 have a direct significant nexus to a non-RPW which has direct downstream connections to RPWs and eventually flow into a TNW.

Industrial Site	
Prepared by	Date
Reviewed by	Date

Approved Jurisdictional Determination Form for LRL-2015-637; Proposed NWP 39 at East Park

# APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM **U.S. Army Corps of Engineers**

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

# **SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION**

# REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): December 6, 2017

DISTRICT OFFICE FILE NAME AND NUMBED. Louisvilla District Fostorn Kontucky Dogulatory F

	t Park Industrial Site; USACE ID: LRL-2015-637; Wetland LL
C.	PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:  State: Kentucky County/parish/borough: Boyd/Greenup City: Princess Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 38.399620° N, Long. 82.79465° W.  Universal Transverse Mercator:  Name of nearest waterbody: Brush Creek  Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: Little Sandy River  Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 05090104 (Little Sandy)  Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.  Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.
D.	REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):  ☐ Office (Desk) Determination. Date: 12/6/2017  ☐ Field Determination. Date(s): 11/7/2017
	<u>CTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS</u> RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
	re Are no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the ew area. [Required]  Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.  Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce. Explain:
В. (	CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
The	re Are "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]
	1. Waters of the U.S.  a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): ¹  □ TNWs, including territorial seas  □ Wetlands adjacent to TNWs  □ Relatively permanent waters² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs  Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs  □ Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs  □ Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs  □ Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs  □ Impoundments of jurisdictional waters  □ Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands
	<ul> <li>b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area:         Non-wetland waters: linear feet: width (ft) and/or acres.     </li> <li>Wetlands: 1.143 acres.</li> </ul>
	c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: 1987 Delineation Manual Elevation of established OHWM (if known):
	<ol> <li>Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):<sup>3</sup></li> <li>         Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional.     </li> <li>Explain: Isolated Wetlands FF, GG, HH, II, JJ, MM, NN, OO, PP, RR, SS, TT, UU, VV, WW, XX, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, and</li> </ol>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

10 as well as Isolated Ephemeral Stream 6. These resources are physically isolated in the landscape, do not lie within the 100-year floodplain, and have no surface or shallow subsurface connections to "waters of the United States (U.S.)" Sufficient evidence has been provided that these resources are physically and geographically isolated. In addition, these features are not susceptible to use in foreign commerce. As such, these features are not "waters of the U.S.".

#### **SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS**

#### A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

1.	TNW Identify TNW:	
	Summarize rationale supporting determination:	•

#### 2. Wetland adjacent to TNW

Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent":

# B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under *Rapanos* have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody<sup>4</sup> is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

## 1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

# (i) General Area Conditions:

Watershed size: 125 acres
Drainage area: 3 acres
Average annual rainfall: 43

Average annual rainfall: 43 inches Average annual snowfall: 14 inches

# (ii) Physical Characteristics:

(a) Relationship with TNW:

Tributary flows directly into TNW.

Tributary flows through 4 tributaries before entering TNW.

Project waters are **20-25** river miles from TNW. Project waters are **1** (or less) river miles from RPW.

Project waters are **10-15** aerial (straight) miles from TNW.

Project waters are **1 (or less)** aerial (straight) miles from RPW.

Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:

Identify flow route to TNW<sup>5</sup>: Wetland LL discharges into a rock-lined drainage feature that then flows into an existing stormwater culvert beneath Technology Drive that empties into an unnamed tributary of Brush Creek. Brush Creek flows

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.

Bi	to the East Fork of the Little Sandy River that flows into the Little Sandy River. The Little Sandy River flows into the g Sandy River, a TNW. ibutary stream order, if known:
	eneral Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply):  ributary is:
discharges into B	
Tr	Average width: 2 feet Average depth: 0.25 feet Average side slopes: 2:1.
Pri	imary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply):  Silts Sands Concrete Cobbles Gravel Muck Bedrock Vegetation. Type/% cover: Other. Explain:
Pro Tr	ributary condition/stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks]. Explain: Rip-Rapped stormwater ditch. resence of run/riffle/pool complexes. Explain: No run/riffle/pool complexes exist within the ditch. ributary geometry: <b>Relatively straight</b> ributary gradient (approximate average slope): 1-2 %
Es excess flow into t	ributary provides for: <b>Ephemeral flow</b> stimate average number of flow events in review area/year: <b>6-10</b> Describe flow regime: Riprap ditch flows only when Wetland LL reaches the holding capacity and discharges the the drainage ditch.
	ther information on duration and volume:
	arface flow is: <b>Discrete.</b> Characteristics:
Su	bsurface flow: <b>Unknown</b> . Explain findings:
Tri	ibutary has (check all that apply):  Bed and banks  OHWM <sup>6</sup> (check all indicators that apply):  clear, natural line impressed on the bank changes in the character of soil shelving vegetation matted down, bent, or absent leaf litter disturbed or washed away sediment deposition water staining other (list):  Discontinuous OHWM. Explain:
If	factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply):    High Tide Line indicated by:
(iii) Chemio	cal Characteristics:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.

<sup>7</sup>Ibid.

Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.).

Explain: Water color is clear when Wetland LL discharges.

Identify specific pollutants, if known: Unknown.

	(iv)	Biol	ogical Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply):  Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width):  Wetland fringe. Characteristics:  Habitat for:  Federally Listed species. Explain findings:  Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:  Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:  Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:
2.	Cha	ıract	eristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW
	<b>(i)</b>		Sical Characteristics:  General Wetland Characteristics: Properties: Wetland size: 1.143 acres Wetland type. Explain: Emergent. Wetland quality. Explain:100% wetland vegetation, hydric soils, and hydrology present. Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:
flow Tech	s int	o a c	General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW: Flow is: <b>Ephemeral flow</b> . Explain: The wetland is connected to dowsntream RPWs via a non-jurisdictional ditch that alvert beneath Technology Drive, and exits the culvert into most likely an intermittent stream on the north side of brive. This stream eventually flows into Brush Creek.
			Surface flow is: Overland sheetflow Characteristics:
			Subsurface flow: Unknown. Explain findings: Dye (or other) test performed: .
non-	juris		Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:  ☐ Directly abutting ☐ Not directly abutting ☐ Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain: Wetland has hydrologic connection to downstream RPW via a conal ditch that enters a culvert beneath Technology Drive and exits into an RPW on the northside of the road. ☐ Ecological connection. Explain: Provides various values and functions. See III C. ☐ Separated by berm/barrier. Explain: Separated by road berm for Technology Drive with culvert beneath.
		(d)	Proximity (Relationship) to TNW Project wetlands are 20-25 river miles from TNW. Project waters are 10-15 aerial (straight) miles from TNW. Flow is from: Wetland to navigable waters. Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the 500-year or greater floodplain.
	(ii)	Cha	emical Characteristics: racterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain: The water was clear with no oil film or brown color. The watershed drains adjacent oper field areas and a forested ridge. No water quality samples were analyzed.  https://doi.org/10.1001/j.com/1
	(iii)	Bio	logical Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply):  Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width):  Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain: 100% wetland vegetation with mostly narrow leaf cattails  Habitat for:  Federally Listed species. Explain findings:  Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:  Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:  Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: Wetland supports habitat for amphibians and macroinvertebrates.
3.	Cha	ract	eristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any)

# 3.

All wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: **1**Approximately (1.143) acres in total are being considered in the cumulative analysis.

For each wetland, specify the following:

<u>Directly abuts? (Y/N)</u> <u>Size (in acres)</u> <u>Directly abuts? (Y/N)</u> <u>Size (in acres)</u>
N 1.143

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed: Emergent wetlands perform pollutant filtering, nutrient uptake function from stormwater runoff of roadways and open fields of reclaimed mine area.

#### C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the *Rapanos* Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

- 1. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D:
- 2. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D: Wetland LL is connected to downstream RPWs via an existing non-jurisdicitonal, rip rap ditch that drains the wetland to an existing culvert beneath Technology Drive. The culvert then exits on the northside of Technology Drive into an RPW that evenutally flows into Brush Creek. Brush Creek eventually flows into East Fork Little Sandy River, which flows into Little Sandy River. The Little Sandy River flows into the Big Sandy River which is a TNW. During the field visits on November 6 and 7, 2017, water was observed flowing out of the wetland and into the rip rap ditch and then into the culvert. Thus, Wetland LL has an indirect significant nexus to downstreams RPWs which eventually flow into a TNW.
- 3. Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:

D.	DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL
	THAT APPLY):

1.	TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands. Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area:  ☐ TNWs: linear feet width (ft), Or, acres.  ☐ Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: acres.
2.	RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial:

	Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally:
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):  Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).  Other non-wetland waters: acres.  Identify type(s) of waters: .
3.	Non-RPWs <sup>8</sup> that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply):  Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).  Other non-wetland waters: acres.  Identify type(s) of waters: .
4.	Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands.  Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:
	Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:
	Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
5.	Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisidictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
6.	Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: <b>1.143</b> acres.
7.	As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional.  Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).
DE SU	OLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, GRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY CH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): 10 which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes.

E.

 <sup>8</sup>See Footnote # 3.
 9 To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.
 10 Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

	from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce.  which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce.  Interstate isolated waters. Explain:  Other factors. Explain:
	Identify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):  Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).  Other non-wetland waters: acres.  Identify type(s) of waters:  Wetlands: acres.
F.	NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):  ☐ If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements.  ☐ Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce.  ☐ Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR).  ☐ Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain:  ☐ Other: (explain, if not covered above): Wetland LL was connected, via stormwater drainage ditch, to Brush Creek, a
	outary of the East Fork of the Little Sandy River. The East Fork of the Little Sandy River empties into the Little Sandy River, a outary of the Big Sandry River which is a TNW.
	Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment (check all that apply):  Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): 1000 linear feet 2' width (ft).  Lakes/ponds: acres.  Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource:  Wetlands: acres.
	Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply):  Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft).  Lakes/ponds: acres.  Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource:  Wetlands: acres.
SEC	CTION IV: DATA SOURCES.
A. 3	SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below):  Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Submitted by Redwing Ecological Services, Inc. dated November 20, 2017.  Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.  Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.  Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.  Data sheets prepared by the Corps:  Corps navigable waters' study:  U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:  USGS NHD data.
	USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.  U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name:1:24,000 −Argillite, Kentucky Quad.  USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation:Soil Survey Geographic Database for Boyd/Greenup County, Kentucky (2010).  National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name:  State/Local wetland inventory map(s):  FEMA/FIRM maps:Boyd/Greenup County (2010).  100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929)  Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date): ESRI and the GIS user community (2016).  or ◯ Other (Name & Date): Site photographs November 6 and 7, 2017.

Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter: LRL-2015-637 Preliminary Jurisdictional Determination 12/1/2015.
Applicable/supporting case law: .
Applicable/supporting scientific literature: .
Other information (please specify):

**B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD:** Wetland LL was connected, via stormwater drainage ditch, to Brush Creek a tributary of the East Fork of the Little Sandy River. The East Fork of the Little Sandy River empties into the Little Sandy River which is a tributary of the Big Sandy River, a TNW.

# APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I:	BACKGROUND	INFORMATION

A.	REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR AP	PPROVED JURISDICTIONAL	DETERMINATION (JI	)): December 6.	. 2017
----	-------------------------------	------------------------	-------------------	-----------------	--------

Eas	DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: Louisville District, Eastern Kentucky Regulatory Office t Park Industrial Site; USACE ID: LRL-2015-637; Isolated Wetlands (FF, GG, HH, II, JJ, MM, NN, OO, PP, RR, SS, TT, UU, WW, XX, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 10)
C.	PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:  State: Kentucky County/parish/borough: Boyd/Greenup City: Princess Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 38.399620° N. Long. 82.79465° W.  Universal Transverse Mercator:  Name of nearest waterbody: Buena Vista Branch and Brush Creek  Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: Little Sandy River  Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 05090104 (Little Sandy)  Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.  Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.
D.	REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):  Office (Desk) Determination. Date: 12/6/2017  Field Determination. Date(s):
	CTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
Ther revie	re Are no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the ew area. [Required]  Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.  Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce. Explain:
В. С	CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
Ther	re Are no "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]
	1. Waters of the U.S.  a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply):  TNWs, including territorial seas  Wetlands adjacent to TNWs  Relatively permanent waters² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs  Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs  Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs  Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs  Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs  Impoundments of jurisdictional waters  Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands
	b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area:  Non-wetland waters: linear feet: width (ft) and/or acres.  Wetlands: acres.

Elevation of established OHWM (if known):

2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):3

c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: Not established at this time.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

<sup>2</sup> For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (a.g. trainelly 2 months).

<sup>(</sup>e.g., typically 3 months).

<sup>3</sup> Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

		4.
		·
	·	
:		

Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain: The 24 wetlands included in this delineation are physically isolated in the landscape, do not lie within the 100-year floodplain, and have no surface or shallow subsurface connections to "waters of the United States (U.S.)" Documentation submitted by the applicant provides sufficient evidence that these waterbodies are physically and geographically isolated. In addition, these features are not susceptible to use in foreign commerce. As such, these features are not "waters of the U.S.".

#### SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

### A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

1. TNW

Identify TNW:

Summarize rationale supporting determination:

Wetland adjacent to TNW

Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent":

# B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under *Rapanos* have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

### 1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

General Area Conditions:

Watershed size: Pick List Drainage area: Pick List Average annual rainfall: inches Average annual snowfall: inches (ii) Physical Characteristics: (a) Relationship with TNW: ☐ Tributary flows directly into TNW. ☐ Tributary flows through **Pick List** tributaries before entering TNW. Project waters are **Pick List** river miles from TNW. Project waters are **Pick List** river miles from RPW. Project waters are Pick List aerial (straight) miles from TNW. Project waters are Pick List aerial (straight) miles from RPW. Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: Identify flow route to TNW5: Tributary stream order, if known:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.

(0)	Tributary is: Natural Artificial (man-made). Explain: Manipulated (man-altered). Explain:
	Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate):  Average width: feet  Average depth: feet  Average side slopes: Pick List.
	Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply):  Silts Concrete Cobbles Gravel Muck Bedrock Vegetation. Type/% cover: Other. Explain:
	Tributary condition/stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks]. Explain: Presence of run/riffle/pool complexes. Explain: Tributary geometry: Pick List Tributary gradient (approximate average slope): %
(c)	Flow: Tributary provides for: Pick List Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year: Pick List Describe flow regime: Other information on duration and volume:
	Surface flow is: Pick List. Characteristics: .
	Subsurface flow: Pick List. Explain findings:  Dye (or other) test performed:
	Tributary has (check all that apply):  Bed and banks  OHWM <sup>6</sup> (check all indicators that apply):  clear, natural line impressed on the bank changes in the character of soil destruction of terrestrial vegetation shelving vegetation matted down, bent, or absent leaf litter disturbed or washed away sediment deposition water staining other (list):  Discontinuous OHWM. <sup>7</sup> Explain:
	If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply):    High Tide Line indicated by:   Mean High Water Mark indicated by:   survey to available datum;   survey to available datum;   physical markings/characteristics   physical markings/characteristics   vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types.
Chai	emical Characteristics: racterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.) Explain: tify specific pollutants, if known:

(iii)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break. <sup>7</sup>Ibid.

		(iv) Biological Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply):  Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width):  Wetland fringe. Characteristics:  Habitat for:  Federally Listed species. Explain findings:  Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:  Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:  Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:
	2.	Characteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW
		(i) Physical Characteristics:  (a) General Wetland Characteristics: Properties: Wetland size: acres Wetland type. Explain: Wetland quality. Explain: Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:
		(b) General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW: Flow is: Pick List. Explain:
		Surface flow is: Pick List Characteristics:
		Subsurface flow: Pick List. Explain findings:  Dye (or other) test performed:
		(c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:  Directly abutting  Not directly abutting  Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain:  Ecological connection. Explain:  Separated by berm/barrier. Explain:
		(d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW Project wetlands are Pick List river miles from TNW. Project waters are Pick List aerial (straight) miles from TNW. Flow is from: Pick List. Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the Pick List floodplain.
		(ii) Chemical Characteristics: Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain: Identify specific pollutants, if known:
		(iii) Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply):  Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width):  Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain:  Habitat for:  Federally Listed species. Explain findings:  Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:  Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:  Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:
3	3.	Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any)  All wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: Pick List  Approximately ( ) acres in total are being considered in the cumulative analysis.

Directly abuts? (Y/N)

Size (in acres)

Directly abuts? (Y/N)

Size (in acres)

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed:

#### C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the Rapanos Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook, Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

- 1. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D:
- 2. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:
- 3. Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:

D.	DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL
	THAT APPLY):

	TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands. Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area:  TNWs: linear feet width (ft), Or, acres.  Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: acres.
<b>!.</b>	RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial:  Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally:

	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):  Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).  Other non-wetland waters: acres.  Identify type(s) of waters:
3.	Non-RPWs <sup>8</sup> that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply):  Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).  Other non-wetland waters: acres.  Identify type(s) of waters: .
4.	Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands.  Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:
	Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating that tributary seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:
	Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
5.	Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacen and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisidictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
6.	Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent an with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
7.	As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional.  Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or  Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or  Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).
DEC SUC SUC SUC SUC SUC SUC SUC SUC SUC SU	LATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, GRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY CH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): 10 which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes. from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce. which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce. Interstate isolated waters. Explain:  Other factors. Explain:
Iden	ntify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:

E.

 <sup>8</sup>See Footnote # 3.
 9 To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.
 10 Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):  Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).  Other non-wetland waters: acres.  Identify type(s) of waters:  Wetlands: acres.
F.	NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):  If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements.  Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce.  Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR).  Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain:  Other: (explain, if not covered above):
	Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment (check all that apply):  Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft).  Lakes/ponds: acres.  Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource:  Wetlands: 2.96 acres.
	Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply):  Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft).  Lakes/ponds: acres.  Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: .  Wetlands: 2.96 acres.
SEC	CTION IV: DATA SOURCES.
	SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below);  Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Submitted by Redwing Ecological Services, Inc. dated November 20, 2017.  Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.  Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.  Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.  Other concurs with data sheets/delineation report.  U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:  USS NHD data.  USS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.  U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name:1:24,000 – Argillite, Kentucky Quad.  USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation:Soil Survey Geographic Database for Boyd/Greenup County, Kentucky (2010).  National wetlands inventory map(s).  FEMA/FIRM maps:Boyd/Greenup County (2010).  100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929)  Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date): ESRI and the GIS user community (2016).  or Other (Name & Date): Site photographs November 6 and 7, 2017.  Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter: LRL-2015-637 Preliminary Jurisdictional Determination 12/1/2015.
	Applicable/supporting case law: Applicable/supporting scientific literature: Other information (please specify):

**B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD:** The review area is a reclaimed surface mine operation consisting of primarily agricultural fields and young woods and scrub habitat with atypical hydrology and soils.

7) S 12/13/17